

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,978 01/13/2004		01/13/2004	Gregory F. Cardinale	SD-8488	3716
20567	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
SANDIA C	ORPOR	ATION		LUK, EMM	IANUEL S
P O BOX 58	00				
MS-0161				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALBUOUEROUE, NM 87185-0161				1722	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/756,978	CARDINALE ET AL.				
Om	ce Action Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The M	All INC DATE of this communication com	Emmanuel S. Luk	1722				
Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for I - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, and by the Office later than three months after the mailing rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	av 2004.					
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since th	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed i	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	laims						
<u> </u>) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.						
8)☐ Claim(s	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pape	ers						
<u> </u>	cification is objected to by the Examiner						
· ·	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Fxaminer				
	t may not request that any objection to the d	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ The oath	n or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
a)∏ All b	edgment is made of a claim for foreign ¡	,)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•				
Attachment(s)							
	ences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Ma		6) Other:	atom Application (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/756,978 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,978 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

4. Claims 1-6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beglinger (4687434) in view of Chou (5772905).

Beglinger teaches an apparatus having a surface (41) with plungers (59, 60) that are movable in a direction and having actuating means (pressure being from the from the actuator 57 acting upon the plungers).

Beglinger fails to teach imprint lithography.

However, it is pressing and shaping a material and Beglinger is similar in this regard in molding and a material having a shaped surface.

Chou teaches an apparatus and method for creating lithographic patterns by having a top and bottom surface for imprint lithography with a downward plunger having an actuating means (60) with the desired features and made from a silicon substrate (16) for the top plunger.

In regards to the distance apart for the plungers, the apparatus of Beglinger could have the plunger be only space apart by 0.5 to 2 micrometres apart.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Chou with the bottom plunger being actuated in the vertical direction as taught by Matey because it allows for both plungers to move towards another.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,978 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to teach the plunger wafer and capping wafer as defined by the specification in relation to the template for imprint lithography and the plungers.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rossetti (4325779), Greschner (5427599), Mueller (5993189), Takakuwa (6280661), Springer (6416311) and Birch (6656398).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8 to 5 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,978

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EL

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

AU 1722